



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | F    | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/781,291                              |      | 02/19/2004 | Yutaka Katsuyama     | 826.1554D           | 3345            |
| 21171                                   | 7590 | 04/21/2005 |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP                      |      |            |                      | BHATNAGAR, ANAND P  |                 |
| SUITE 700<br>1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. |      |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| WASHINGT                                |      |            | 2623                 |                     |                 |

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Office Action Summany  | 10/781,291   | KATSUYAMA, YUTAKA  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Anand Bhatnagar  | 2623   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe  | ebruary 2004.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This   | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠<br>5)□<br>6)□<br>7)□  | 4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)  | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)[  | ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notic  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   | (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| 2)  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da  | te<br>atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/781,291

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: As shown in figs. 1, 2, 3, etc. there is labeling of colors/color processing in an image being performed based on the numbers of colors (Species 1 corresponding to fig. 2), color difference information (species 2 corresponding to fig. 3), etc.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## **Contact Information**

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand Bhatnagar whose telephone number is (571) 272-7416, whose supervisor is Amelia Au whose number is (571) 272-7414, group fax is 703-872-9306, and Tech center 2600 customer service office number is 703-306-0377.

**Anand Bhatnagar** 

Art Unit 2623

April 18, 2005

SAMIR AHMED PRIMARY EXAMINER